

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/694,329	10/27/2003	Richard Neal Svenson	65961-0124	3832
44200	7590 03/09/2006		EXAM	INER
HONIGMAN MILLER SCHWARTZ & COHN LLP			ELOSHWAY, N	IKI MARINA
38500 WOODWARD AVENUE SUITE 100 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-5048		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3727		

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		E			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/694,329	SVENSON, RICHARD NEAL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Niki M. Eloshway	3727			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	—· s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National St age			
Attachment(s)		•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/27/03. 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/694,329 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

 The claims is considered vague and indefinite because it is unclear how the deployment angle is approximately 90 degrees with respect to the bottom panel when the system is in the collapsed position. It appears that the angle is 90 degrees in the deployed position.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3 and 6-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Adomeit et al. (U.S. 6,015,071). Adomeit et al. teaches a cargo management system, shown in figures 1-3, having a bottom panel 12, and a pair of top panels 22, 24. The end walls 30 and 40 of Adomeit et al. each comprise three side panels. The plurality of rib members are elements 60 and 64 which divide the cavity into separate compartments.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,329 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adomeit et al. (U.S. 6,015,071) in view of Spykerman et al. (U.S. 6,253,943). Adomeit et al. discloses the claimed invention except that the hinged connections comprise pivot pins. Spykerman et al. teaches that it is known to use living hinges to connect articulating members of a folding storage system. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the system of Adomeit et al. with the hinge connections being living hinges, as taught by Spykerman et al., in order to form a more permanent connection between the walls.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

 The prior art is cited for the overall container structure.
- 8. THIS ACTION IS NON-FINAL.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Niki M. Eloshway whose telephone number is 571-272-4538. The examiner can normally be reached on Thursdays and Fridays 8 a.m. to 4 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Nathan Newhouse can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,329 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

XikiM. Eloshway

Examiner Art Unit 3727

nme